

Barlow Respiratory HOSPITAL Helping You Breathe Easier

# **POST-ICU MECHANICAL VENTILATION: OUTCOMES OF THE REVISED THERAPIST-IMPLEMENTED PATIENT-SPECIFIC (TIPS<sup>©</sup>) WEANING PROTOCOL**

Meg Stearn Hassenpflug, MS, RD<sup>1</sup>; Douglas Vela, RCP<sup>1</sup>; David R. Nelson, MD<sup>1,3</sup>; Scott A. Sasse, MD<sup>1</sup>; Rose Gummadi<sup>1</sup>, RRT, MBA; Jillisa Steckart, MEd, PsyD<sup>1,2,3</sup> Barlow Respiratory Hospital and Research Center, Los Angeles, CA<sup>1</sup>; VA-GLAHS, Los Angeles, CA<sup>2</sup>; UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA<sup>3</sup>

## **INTRODUCTION**

Barlow Respiratory Hospital (BRH) is a 105-bed long-term acute care (LTAC) hospital network that serves as a regional weaning center, accepting chronically critically ill (CCI) patients transferred from ICUs of hospitals in southern California. Patients have been weaned using the Therapist-Implemented Patient-Specific (TIPS©) protocol since 1998.<sup>1</sup> The protocol was reviewed and revised in an effort to decrease time (days) to wean from prolonged mechanical ventilation (PMV). Herein we report weaning outcomes of the first year of implementation of our most recent revision of the protocol compared to outcomes of the previous calendar year.

## METHODS

In 2012 an interdisciplinary task force was formed to review the existing protocol and seek opportunities for performance improvement. A literature review was performed to update the evidence base of ICU and LTAC weaning protocols/practices, stability and weaning parameters, and ventilator modes. Input was solicited from staff respiratory care practitioners (RCPs), pulmonologists, and other key stakeholders. Protocol revisions were drafted, circulated, and discussed; expert opinion was utilized for decisions lacking a true evidence base. Electronic medical record (EMR) documentation was updated to reflect protocol revisions and provide data for compliance monitoring. After policy approval and training of all staff, the revised protocol was applied to patients admitted beginning 3/3/2014. Outcomes (weaned, ventilator-dependent, died) were scored at BRH discharge; weaned was defined as patient free of invasive mechanical ventilation at least one full calendar day prior to day of discharge. Time to wean (days) was tallied from day of admission through last day of ventilator support.

Patient Admission Char and Time to Wean: A		U	
	2013 (n=265)	3/3/14 – 3/31/15 (n=216)	р
/ariables:	265/297 (89%)*	216/257 (84%)*	
Age, years	74 [17 - 100]	72 [21 - 100]	
Gender, male	55%	59%	
Ethnicity:			
Caucasian	64%	67%	
Non-Caucasian	36%	33%	
LOS transferring facility, days	23 [1 - 134]	22 [1 - 94]	
Medicare	80%	67%	
Pre-morbid location, home	67%	67%	
Pre-morbid function,good(Zubrod Score 0-2)	58%	61%	
Pressure ulcer ≥ stage II	145 (55.1%)	126 (58.3%)	
APACHE® III APS	42.0 [9 - 98]	47.0 [28 - 62]	
Hematocrit (%)	$29.7\pm0.26$	$28.9~\pm~0.43$	
Serum albumin (g/dl)	$2.3\ \pm 0.04$	$2.4\ \pm 0.06$	
BUN (mg/dl)	$36.8 \pm 1.7$	$36.8\ \pm 2.5$	
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	1.2 ± 0.08	1.1 ± 0.12	
Weaning Outcomes:			
Weaned	139 (53%)	122 (57%)	
Ventilator-dependent	103 (39%)	74 (34%)	
Died	23 (9%)	20 (9%)	
Time to Wean, days	16.6 [2 - 102]	12.0 [4 - 38]	<.001
Length of Stay, days	35.0 [3 - 294]	29.0 [1 - 223]	<.001
Patients treated with protocol / All patients in Ventilator	Weaning Program (Physician-dire	ected weaning for balance of pa	tients)
			R

Two key protocol revisions were realized to "accelerate" weaning during steps 1-9: 1) daily rapid shallow breathing index (RSBI) measurements to assess for earliest opportunity to advance to self-breathing trials<sup>2</sup>, and 2) up to three daily reassessment opportunities to advance multiple steps in the protocol. From 3/3/2014 through 3/31/2015, 216 CCI patients admitted for weaning and treated with the revised protocol reached outcome. Results of first year of implementation are compared to 265 CCI patients discharged in 2013 treated by the same physicians and staff with the previous protocol. Outcomes were scored the same for both cohorts.




309(7):671-677

Care 2013 Nov; 58(11):1992-4.







ne to wean after implementation of a ng protocol incorporating additional steps while maintaining

afety and stability screens; weaning e essentially unchanged.

orresponding significant decrease in (LOS).

e and findings suggest the need to: utility of SIMV mode<sup>4</sup>, 2) consider ial the day following admission<sup>3</sup>, ocol weaning to proceed based on

n criteria as opposed to limitation of ps per day.

on may be considered to align with S proposed Weaning Process ity Measures.<sup>5</sup>

mechanical ventilation may ss risk of ventilator-associated /events, enhanced rehabilitation and shorter LOS.

#### REFERENCES

Scheinhorn DJ, Chao DC, Stearn-Hassenpflug M, et al. Outcomes in post-ICU mechanical ventilation: a therapistmplemented weaning protocol. Chest 2001; 119:236-242.

Chao DC, Scheinhorn DJ. Determining the best threshold of rapid shallow breathing index in a therapist-implemented atient-specific weaning protocol. Resp Care 2007; 52(2):159-165. Jubran A, Grant BJB, Duffner LA, et al. Effect of pressure support versus unassisted breathing through a tracheostomy

collar on weaning duration in patients requiring prolonged mechanical ventilation: a randomized trial. JAMA 2013;

Ghamloush M, Hill NS. Synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation: time to send this workhorse out to pasture. Resp

CMS Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, List of Measures under Consideration for December 1, 2014

The authors thank the staff of the Respiratory Care Department and pulmonologists of Barlow Respiratory Hospital for their contributions and efforts.